

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT, OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,320	07/06/2001	Roy M. Soetikno	STAN219	5631
24353 7	7590 12/23/2003		EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP			LANDREM, KAMRIN R	
200 MIDDLEI SUITE 200	FIELD RD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Q			
	09/900,320	SOETIKNO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kamrin R. Landrem	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	IVIC CET TO EVEIDE AMO	NITU(C) EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte. cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communicatio NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26</u>	September 2003.					
·	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matter Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits i 11, 453 O.G. 213.	s			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,8,10-15,17 and 19</u> is/are pend						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	a in at a d					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-6,8,10-13,15,17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		y the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			-			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s	e) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure 	nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pages.	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § first sentence of the specifica	; 119(e) (to a provisional applica tion or in an Application Data Sh	tion) neet.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121 since a specif	ic '8.			
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	ımmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/900,320

- Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1,3-6,8,10-13,15,17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giantureo et al in view of Dua (USPN 5,968,068) further in view of Cox et al (USPN 5,290,294).

Giantureo et al discloses a metallic self-expanding luminal stent 30 comprising a tightening drawstring 40 through eyelets 20 located on one end of the stent, wherein the drawstring is slack during normal use and is tightened for compressing and removing the stent from the body lumen (2:41-65). Giantureo discloses all of the claimed elements except for the particulars pertaining to the location of the stent and the removal device. Giantureo discloses that the stent can be used in any body passageway but does not specifically recite the gastrointestinal tract. It is well known in the art to use stents in vascular, urinal, and gastrointestinal lumens. As discussed in the previous office action, Dua et al discloses a gastrointestinal stent 10 with an interconnecting filament 24 to prevent reflux of gastric fluids through the esophagus. With reference to Figures 5a-b. Cox et al teaches the use of an apparatus comprising hinged forceps 110 that are located within sheath 12. The forceps 110 are capable of pulling an object directly into sheath 12. Therefore in view of the teachings it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the stent disclosed by Giantuero is capable of functioning in the gastrointestinal tract as taught by Dua. It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the hinged forcep device taught by Cox in order to grasp the drawstring 40 of Giantuero, thus tightening and collapsing the stent 30 and withdrawing it into the sheath of the endoscopic device 12 for removal for the body.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,320

' Art Unit: 3738

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6,8,10-15, 17, and 18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamrin R. Landrem whose telephone number is 703-305-8061. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00, Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,320

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-3905.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Kamrin Landrem Examiner AU 3738

KRL

De la la colta la la colta la